The Lancet Commission on Hypertension identified that a key action to address the worldwide burden of high blood pressure (BP) was to improve the quality of BP measurements by using BP devices that have been validated for accuracy. Currently, there are over 3000 commercially available BP devices, but many do not have published data on accuracy testing according to established scientific standards. This problem is enabled through weak or absent regulations that allow clearance of devices for commercial use without formal validation. In addition, new BP technologies have emerged (e.g. cuffless sensors) for which there is no scientific consensus regarding BP measurement accuracy standards. Altogether, these issues contribute to the widespread availability of clinic and home BP devices with limited or uncertain accuracy, leading to inappropriate hypertension diagnosis, management and drug treatment on a global scale. The most significant problems relating to the accuracy of BP devices can be resolved by the regulatory requirement for mandatory independent validation of BP devices according to the universally-accepted International Organisation for Standardization Standard. This is a primary recommendation for which there is an urgent international need. Other key recommendations are development of ...
validation standards specifically for new BP technologies and online lists of accurate devices that are accessible to consumers and health professionals. Recommendations are aligned with WHO policies on medical devices and universal healthcare. Adherence to recommendations would increase the global availability of accurate BP devices and result in better diagnosis and treatment of hypertension, thus decreasing the worldwide burden from high BP.
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## INTRODUCTION

High blood pressure (BP) is the leading modifiable risk factor for cardiovascular disease, contributing to the greatest global burden of disease [1,2]. There are major deficiencies with respect to optimal awareness, diagnosis and treatment of high BP, and these problems persist across low-income, middle-income and high-income countries, altogether emphasizing the need for widespread population-level improvement [3]. Reassuringly, if the presence of high BP can be correctly identified with appropriate use of accurate BP devices and BP measurement protocols, the risk of future cardiovascular events can be reduced significantly with BP-lowering medications [4], dietary and lifestyle interventions [5].

The accurate measurement of BP and the diagnosis of hypertension are crucial because misclassification can have serious clinical consequences [6]. An overestimation of BP based on inaccurate measurement could lead to the initiation, and potential lifelong continuation, of unnecessary medications with possible side effects as well as unwanted social effects including anxiety, workplace absenteeism [7] and increased costs from insurance and medications [8]. Conversely, if inaccurate measurement results in underestimating BP, an opportunity to prevent avoidable cardiovascular events may be missed. These are nontrivial problems, in that relatively small systematic inaccuracies can lead to misclassification of many millions of people at the population level [9]. Thus, accurate BP measurement has been cited as one of the most important tests in clinical medicine [10]. The accuracy of BP measurement is a serious and frequent problem of contemporary clinical practice that should be considered as an issue of patient safety.

One of the key actions of The Lancet Commission on Hypertension was to improve BP evaluation from better quality of BP measurements through endorsed protocols and validated (accurate) BP monitors [11]. Manufacturers must adhere to strict regulatory processes to bring a BP device legally to market. However, many loopholes have been identified which lead to BP devices of poor or unknown accuracy being widely available for clinic use, including for self-monitoring at home [12–19]. The effects of unknown BP errors can influence the clinical environment, epidemiology and research, and even contribute to discrepancies among hypertension guidelines [20]. From about 5000 cuff-based BP measuring devices on the market today, less than 15% have published evidence on accuracy performance [21]. These nonvalidated BP devices are used in clinical practice and are more likely to be inaccurate [22–24]. Furthermore, a variety of new BP measurement technologies using sensors and cuffless techniques are also now emerging for sale, but there is minimal guidance on appropriate standards for accurately testing them.

The aim of this article is both to summarize the current situation regarding the regulatory requirements and accuracy standards for BP measuring devices, and also to redress the problems mentioned above by providing recommendations and actions towards improving validation and reporting standards for BP measuring devices. This article is fully aligned with the WHO policies on medical devices and can assist to catalyse the full implementation of the related World Health Assembly resolutions [25,26]. Ultimately, this work seeks to facilitate the global availability of validated BP measuring devices, thereby increasing the probability of better BP management and decreasing the worldwide burden of high BP.

### HOW A BLOOD PRESSURE DEVICE BECOMES APPROVED FOR SALE

Many countries have legal processes in place, whereby a manufacturer of a BP device must demonstrate compliance with regulatory requirements before being given clearance for sale of the device. It is beyond this review to detail the specific regulatory processes among different countries, as these differ across the world and involve substantial complexity. However, the general overriding principles of regulatory processes are to ensure that BP devices meet acceptable standards of quality, safety, reliability and effectiveness (e.g. suitability for intended purpose).

If a company decides to market a BP device, it must submit an application that is reviewed by the regulatory authority within the jurisdiction of sale (e.g. the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA, USA); Therapeutic Products Directorate (Canada); or Therapeutic Goods Administration (Australia), to name a few). If the information provided by the manufacturer meets the local regulatory requirements, a licence (or clearance) is issued for sale of the device within that country. In general, there are increasing levels of regulatory requirements and assessments as the level of potential risk from the device to the consumer rises. Thus, as BP devices are classified as low-to-moderate risk, the regulatory requirements are lower than those for high-risk medical devices.

Although not required by law, a common way for the manufacturer of a medical device to demonstrate compliance with regulatory requirements is to show that the device conforms with published standards relevant to that device. Standards are documents designed to set out the specifications, procedures and guidelines to ensure the quality, safety and effectiveness of devices. The International Organisation for Standardization (ISO) develops
There are significant problems in the transmission of scientific data on BP device accuracy to the actual users of such devices [15,16,41,43–45]. Many important articles on the deficiencies of BP measurement have been published over many decades [10,46], but the information is rarely disseminated beyond the researchers and health professionals who are already familiar with the problems. Manufacturers may be aware of the limitations with respect to BP device accuracy, but while the consumer market remains unaffected, there is little impetus to modify practice.

A fundamental regulatory problem is that authorities focus mainly on the physical safety features of BP devices rather than accuracy and performance characteristics [15,43]. Indeed, manufacturers are not required to test accuracy according to specific unified standards, nor to share results of the accuracy testing publicly. Regulations can also be difficult to enforce due to ambiguities and high levels of complexity [15]. In addition, recommendations from consumer organizations are often based on cost, usability and cosmetics rather than accuracy. Most insidiously, unethical manufacturers are selling cheap home BP devices online and making false claims with respect to validation [47]. This implies that consumers may be more likely to purchase lower priced, nonvalidated devices, but this needs to be confirmed. Many other problems, summarized in Table 1, have resulted in a marketplace replete with BP devices of unknown or questionable accuracy [21–24].

**EMERGING NEW BLOOD PRESSURE DEVICE METHODS AND TECHNOLOGIES**

Clinical guidelines for the diagnosis and management of hypertension have been developed based on evidence from auscultation or oscillometric arm cuff BP devices, which remain the recommended standard for clinical practice. These methods rely on analysis of haemodynamic signals in the brachial artery, which were originally believed to be a good estimate of the central aortic pressure load [48] as the most clinically relevant BP [49–53]. In reality, cuff BP devices vary greatly as to whether the measurements represent central aortic or even brachial BP, and there may be large individual variation in the SBP at the central aorta compared with the brachial artery (e.g. difference >30mmHg) [54]. There are a variety of devices available that purport to measure central aortic BP, as distinct from standard cuff BP [55]. However, beyond recommendations of professional societies [56], there are no regulatory standards to assess performance of these devices.

There is also a burgeoning of new BP measurement technologies that seek to measure BP in entirely different ways than cuff BP. These include different types of cuffless sensors at various arterial sites (e.g. chest, face, upper arm, wrist, finger) with continuous or ‘snapshot’ monitoring of BP using a variety of direct or indirect recording and signal processing methods (e.g. reflectance pulse oximetry, radio frequency sensors, microstructure strain gauge fibres, optoelectronic, applanation tonometry, pulse transit time, smartphone applications) over durations that may extend to weeks or months, and may incorporate software programs with clinical decision-support functionality [57–66]. Some of these methods are not user-friendly and many still rely on calibration to a conventional cuff-based BP measurement, which are limiting features. Nonetheless, this is an evolving
TABLE 1. Summary of regulatory and validation study problems, results and consequences related to accuracy of blood pressure devices

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Problem</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>Overall consequences</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Not mandatory for manufacturers to use a specific standard to assess BP measuring device accuracy | Variable methods used to assess and report on the accuracy of BP measuring devices | 1. Inaccurate BP devices are widely available for sale and use by clinicians and the general public who are unaware of the problem
| Not mandatory for validation testing to be performed by independent parties | Internal company testing performed with questionable expertise and conflict of interest | 2. Incorrect diagnosis and treatment decisions are made
| Several published validation studies deviate from established protocols | Questionable results and unjustified conclusions of studies evaluating BP monitors | 3. Opportunity is lost to perform best-practice clinical care and increase the efficacy of cardiovascular disease prevention
| Several BP devices have passed regulatory requirements for sale but failed independent validation of measurement accuracy | Erroneous messaging with respect to the accuracy of individual BP devices | 4. Inadequate BP devices may not produce accurate readings in people with large or small arms but still used in such cases
| BP devices may fail to produce accurate readings in people with large or small arms but still used in such cases | Individuals with too small or too large arms may have inaccurate BP evaluation | 5. Consumers may favor purchase of cheap products, and this could have particular impact among people in lower income countries
| The assumption that a BP device ‘cleared’ by regulatory authorities and commercially available is accurate | Confusion as to which BP device available on the market has acceptable accuracy | 6. Unethical companies are selling cheap home BP devices online with false validation credentials
| Regulatory requirements focus on safety rather than accuracy (performance) | BP devices perform well with regard to safety but may not be accurate | 7. Consumer organizations do not give due attention to BP device accuracy
| Results of BP devices that fail validation studies may not be published | Lack of widespread communication and transparency on the results of validation studies | 8. BP devices online with false validation credentials
| Exact BP device used in the validation study is unclear | Confusion as to whether the BP device has undergone validation testing | 9. BP devices must be tested for public who are unaware of the problem
| Unethical companies are selling cheap home BP devices online with false validation credentials | Consumers may favor purchase of cheap products, and this could have particular impact among people in lower income countries | 10. Consumer organizations do not give due attention to BP device accuracy
| Consumer organizations do not give due attention to BP device accuracy | Inappropriate advice on best BP devices to use is promulgated to the general public | 11. BP devices online with false validation credentials

BP: blood pressure.

field with major opportunities to improve hypertension evaluation and control, and also to advance systems of healthcare delivery by merging with electronic medical records. However, these diverse technologies also pose new regulatory challenges in providing suitable oversight regarding clinical performance, accuracy, safety and utility [67].

RECOMMENDATIONS AND ACTIONS TO ADDRESS IDENTIFIED PROBLEMS

Recommendation 1: Convergence toward the global regulatory requirement for mandatory independent validation of BP devices according to the universally accepted ISO Standard (ISO 81060-2:2018) with publication preferably in a peer reviewed journal

According to the WHO, high-quality health technologies, such as validated BP devices, are indispensable for effective universal healthcare delivery [25]. Indeed, the WHO Global Model Regulatory Framework for Medical Devices presents a stepwise approach to implementing and enforcing regulatory controls for medical devices [68]. Regulatory convergence is when the regulatory requirements across different regions become aligned due to the adoption of internationally recognized technical documents or regulatory mechanisms that align with achieving a common public health goal [69]. The ISO Standard fulfills the urgent need for a single, universally accepted protocol for BP device validation. The standard has already been adopted by the United States, as well as many other countries and, if made a global mandatory regulatory requirement, will redress the majority of problems relating to BP device accuracy associated with current frameworks. For this to occur there are numerous actions that need to be undertaken across a wide spectrum of key stakeholders that include: government organizations (e.g. FDA or other regulatory agencies); nongovernment organizations (e.g. hypertension societies); researchers, health professionals; journals that publish material related to BP; manufacturers of BP devices and the consumers who purchase BP devices. Nongovernment organizations have many important roles to play. Indeed, endorsement of a standardized validation protocol by a hypertension society has been shown to influence manufacturers to pursue independent validation of BP devices [39]. A summary of required actions is detailed in Table 2.

Recommendation 2: Development of specific standards for the validation of new BP measuring technologies that cannot be tested using the ISO Standard (ISO 81060-2:2018)

The worldwide inundation of new technology that claims to measure BP brings challenges to regulatory authorities that are also encouraging ways to find innovative solutions [67]. Rather than repeating past regulatory problems experienced with standard cuff BP devices, there is an opportunity for developing international standards that are specific to new BP technologies, and that appropriately evaluate accuracy and clinical validity. Table 2 sets out the actions required to achieve this recommendation. As with Recommendation 1, both government and
### TABLE 2. Recommendations and required actions by key stakeholders for the global improvement of blood pressure device accuracy standards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendations</th>
<th>Key stakeholders to effect actions</th>
<th>Actions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Convergence towards the global regulatory requirement for mandatory independent validation of BP devices according to the universally accepted ISO Standard (ISO 81060-2:2018) with publication preferably in a peer reviewed journal</td>
<td>Government regulatory organizations (e.g. US Food and Drug Administration, European Database on Medical Devices, Australian Therapeutic Goods Administration)</td>
<td>Develop repositories for the registration of BP device validation studies conducted around the world (similar concept to ClinicalTrials.gov)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Advocate and lobby to legislate for use of the ISO Standard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Endorse the use of BP devices that have passed validation according to the ISO Standard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Educate members on the importance of using BP devices that have passed the ISO Standard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Educate patients on the importance of BP device accuracy and access to validated devices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nongovernment organizations (e.g. hypertension societies, cardiovascular advocacy groups)</td>
<td>Only purchase BP devices that have passed validation according to the ISO Standard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Transfer knowledge on BP device accuracy for the benefit of the wider scientific, clinical and general community</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Health professionals</td>
<td>Only use validated BP devices in clinical practice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ensure ongoing accuracy of BP devices used in clinical practice by undertaking maintenance checks according to the manufacturer’s instructions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Educate patients to only use validated BP devices for self-home monitoring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Peer reviewed journals</td>
<td>Only accept articles in which BP has been measured using devices that have passed validation according to the ISO Standard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Manufacturers</td>
<td>Evaluate the accuracy of BP devices using the ISO Standard through independent researchers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Publish results of validation testing on company websites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Use a specific common identifier for each BP device that may be sold by distributors under different names</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Provide instructions to purchasers on the process for regular maintenance of the specific device purchased</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Consumers</td>
<td>Only purchase BP devices when accuracy can be confirmed through accredited online lists</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ensure ongoing accuracy of BP device by undertaking regular maintenance according to the manufacturer’s instructions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Only purchase BP devices when accuracy can be confirmed through accredited online lists</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development of specific standards for the validation of new BP measuring technologies that cannot be tested using the ISO Standard (ISO 81060-2:2019)</td>
<td>Nongovernment organizations</td>
<td>Develop international standards specifically for new BP measuring technologies that cannot be tested using the current ISO Standards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Endorse the use of international standards for new BP measuring technologies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Government organizations</td>
<td>Legislate for mandatory independent validation of new BP devices according to the ISO Standard (developed by nongovernment organizations) before approval for sale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Regulate enforcement of the international standards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Monitor compliance with the international standards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Researchers and biomedical engineers</td>
<td>Participate in the development of international standards for new BP measuring technologies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Develop new technologies to accurately measure BP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Conduct research to determine the ability of new BP technologies to enhance clinical care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Health professionals</td>
<td>Avoid using new BP measuring technologies until proven to enhance clinical care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accredited online lists of BP devices detailing the published results of the validation studies</td>
<td>Government and nongovernment organizations</td>
<td>Endorse and promote accredited online lists of BP devices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Develop and maintain one universally accepted accredited list of BP devices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Promote access to the accredited online lists of BP devices through the WHO Essential Medicines and Health Products Programa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Facilitate acquisition of validated BP monitors (from accredited lists) to increase quality and affordability through initiatives such as the PAHO Strategic Fundb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Researchers</td>
<td>Participate in expert advisory groups to oversee the scientific credibility of accredited online lists of BP devices</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


*c*WHO Essential Medicines and Health Products Program (https://www.who.int/medicines/about/en/).

nongovernment organizations will need to undertake actions to develop the specific standards and legislate for their mandatory use before approval for sale, but also endorse, regulate and monitor compliance with the new standards. Health professionals should avoid using new BP technologies to inform clinical management until the method in question can be proven to enhance clinical care.

Recommendation 3: Accredited online lists of BP devices detailing the published results of the validation studies

There is an urgent need to establish means of informing the scientific and general community as to which BP devices have been tested and found to have acceptable accuracy. This can be achieved through online lists of BP devices that detail the results of validation studies carried out according to international standards [43]. The lists should be developed and maintained by organizations with sufficient expertise to ensure scientific rigor and remain independent from BP device manufacturers. Such lists have been developed by national scientific societies in the United Kingdom [70] and Canada [71]. The American Medical Association and the American Heart Association [72], as well as an international group of BP measurement experts (STRIDE-BP organization) have also developed similar resources for general use, which are important nonprofit initiatives. As we move towards a single, universally-accepted ISO Standard, so too we should work towards developing one universally accepted accredited list of BP devices to consolidate information for best effect. Table 2 summarizes the actions and key stakeholders to achieve this recommendation.

IMPLEMENTATION ACTIONS BY THE LANCET COMMISSION ON HYPERTENSION GROUP

A co-ordinated program of activities to implement the recommendations and actions set out in Table 2 is being led by an executive team from the Lancet Commission on Hypertension Group. This team will be guided by an advisory team of invited members with relevant expertise across diverse skills (e.g. scientific, technical, advocacy, implementation science) and representing organizational sectors (e.g. government and nongovernment organizations, professional societies) in different world regions. Lobbying key decision-makers at national Department of Health level is recognized as critical for successful knowledge transfer. The executive team is also keen to leverage opportunity for implementation through existing international programmes and resources, such as the WHO Programme on Cardiovascular Disease, and among individual countries through local champions and relevant organizations (e.g. Heart and Stroke Foundations). The outcomes of these activities will be evaluated and published. Interested partners can contact the corresponding author of this article.

CONCLUSION

Measurement of BP is a fundamental medical test performed daily on many millions of people worldwide, and health professionals together with consumers should have confidence in the accuracy of the BP devices that they use for screening, diagnosis and management of hypertension. On the contrary, due to a host of well known problems, the global marketplace has been inundated with BP devices that are either known to be inaccurate or are of unknown accuracy. This can have serious implications for being able to achieve best-practice care of people related to BP control. The problem also threatens to be compounded by widespread introduction of new technology purporting to measure BP. Fortunately, most identified problems could be resolved by the mandatory requirement for independent validation of BP devices according to the recently developed ISO Standard. Other key needs are the development of specific standards for the validation of new BP technologies and accredited online lists of BP devices that are accessible to health professionals and consumers. An executive team of the Lancet Commission on Hypertension Group is currently working towards implementation of the recommendations provided in this position statement. Adherence to these recommendations would align with WHO policy to result in more accurate diagnosis and treatment of hypertension and a decrease in the worldwide burden from high BP.
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